UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,557	09/14/2006	Morten Dahl	502424.117549	5741
29540 DAY PITNEY	7590 09/14/200 LLP	9	EXAMINER	
7 TIMES SQUA			MAI, TIEN HUNG	
NEW YORK, NY 10036-7311			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2836	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/14/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/586,557	DAHL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	TIEN MAI	2836
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u> 2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ Th 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ris action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 10-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-9 and 18 are subject to restriction Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 July 2006 is/are: a	rawn from consideration. and/or election requirement.	by the Evaminer
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/586,557 Page 2

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

1. Application number 10/586557 for "Lightning Diverter for Conducting a Lightning-Induced Electrical Current and a Method of Producing the Same" filed 09/14/2006 has been examined. Upon response to Election/Restriction on 05/05/2009, applicant elects Group II.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 10; please change "said segments" in line 6 to "said isolated segments".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 10 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brick (US 4,824,713, "Brick").
- 5. **Regarding claim 10**, Brick discloses lightning protected structural surface, the apparatus (fig. 3) comprising: a layer of electrically non-conductive material (17) with a plurality of isolated segments of electrically conductive material (22), wherein exposed parts of said isolated segments are concave shape.
- 6. **Regarding claim 17**, Brick discloses that the electrically non-conductive material being preferably made of an adhesive (col. 3, lines 43-45).

Application/Control Number: 10/586,557 Page 3

Art Unit: 2836

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 8. Claims 10-14, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cline (US 4,506,311, "Cline") in view of Brick.
- 9. **Regarding claim 10**, Cline discloses lightning diverter strip with diamond-shaped conducting segments, the apparatus (fig. 1-3) comprising: a layer of electrically non-conductive material (12) with a plurality of isolated segments of electrically conductive material (15) having a diamond shape.
- 10. Cline does not explicitly disclose exposed parts of said isolated segments are in concave shapes. In the same field of endeavor, Brick discloses a plurality of isolated segments of electrically conductive material (22), wherein exposed parts of the isolated segments are concave shapes (see fig. 3). This is viewed to be changed in shape, which is dependent upon the operating condition and design requirements. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to choose the isolated segments to be concave shape, since it has been held that mere changing shape of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Dailey*, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).
- 11. **Regarding claim 11**, Cline discloses the diverter being in the shape of a strip (see fig. 1).

Art Unit: 2836

- 12. **Regarding claims 12 and 13**, Cline and Brick disclose the limitations as discussed above. Neither Cline nor Brick discloses the isolated segments having different shapes, i.e., cross or star shape. This is viewed to be changed in shape, which is dependent upon the operating condition and design requirements. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to choose the isolated segments to be concave shape, since it has been held that mere changing shape of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Dailey*, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).
- 13. **Regarding claim 14**, Cline discloses the diverter having a layer of material (28) increasing the stiffness of the diverter in the direction along the strip (see fig. 3).
- 14. **Regarding claim 16**, Cline discloses that the isolated segments preferably makes of metal (col. 2, line 60 col. 3, line 2).
- 15. **Regarding claim 17**, Cline discloses that the electrically non-conductive material being preferably made of an adhesive (col. 3, lines 19-24).
- 16. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cline in view of Brick, and further in view of Bocherens (US 5,841,066, "Bocherens").
- 17. **Regarding claim 15**, Cline and Brick disclose the limitations as discussed above. Neither Cline nor Brick discloses the diverter comprising an outermost layer of double-sided adhesive tape. Bocherens discloses a lightning strip having self-adhesive type, i.e., double-side adhesive type, which one side sticks onto the lightning strip and the other side adheres onto application to be protected, i.e., radomes (col. 3, lines 55-

Application/Control Number: 10/586,557

Art Unit: 2836

60). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

Page 5

invention was made to modify the device of Cline in view of Brick to include a self-

adhesive tape, as taught by Bocherens, in order to replace and its maintenance is

easier than other type of fastening (col. 3, lines 55-60).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to TIEN MAI whose telephone number is 571-270-1277.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th: 8:00-7:00. If attempts to reach the

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jared Fureman

can be reached on 571-272-2391. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

/Tien Mai/

Examiner, Art Unit 2836

/Danny Nguyen/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2836